

## Resolution criteria checklist

- I. Is it formatted correctly?

**Font: TIMES NEW ROMAN**

**Size: 11**

A resolution is divided into three parts: the heading, the preambulatory clauses, and the operative clauses.

Headings should look like this:

**COMMITTEE:** Security Council

**TOPIC:** The Question of Peace on the Korean Peninsula

**SPONSORS:** Mexico, Turkey, Japan, Lebanon, Uganda, Russian Federation

**SIGNATORIES:** Bosnia Herzegovina, China, France, USA, UK, Gabon, and Nigeria

**CODE:** SC/2/1

Preambulatory clauses should look like this (they always end with commas):

*Desiring* the formation of a unified authority with fair representation from countries to deal with disputes in the Korean Peninsula,

Operative clauses should look like this (they always end with semicolons):

1. **Encourages** bilateral communication between Republic of Korea (ROK) and Democratic Republic of Korea (DPRK) in order to defuse tensions through means such as but not limited to:
  - a. accepting DPRK's proposal to ROK to hold meetings between the respective lawmakers, given the condition that it demonstrates authenticity in its will to recommence discourse with ROK by doing as suggested in clause 1,
  - b. negotiating the possibility of summit conferences between the ROK and DPRK;
- II. Does it address a specific problem and suggest actions to tackle the problem? If a draft resolution seems vague or needs further articulation, ask delegates to elaborate using sub-clauses that address various facets of the problem specifically.
- III. Does it create a new entity? If so, delegates should be encouraged to look for existing UN agencies that address the stated issue. (i.e. if delegates suggested the creation of a new agency to deal with food shortages in refugee camps, the Chair could refer them to the World Food Programme)
- IV. Is it realistic? Does it take into account issues of limited financial and human resources? Does it address pertinent security issues relevant to sponsor and signatory countries?
- V. Is it in line with stated positions of sponsor and signatory countries (i.e. if China clearly benefits from the poaching industry and they are sponsoring a bill to eliminate poaching, you might ask the delegate from China to reinvestigate his/her country's position)?
- VI. Is it very similar to another working paper that you've been presented with thus far? If so, the two groups should be encouraged to work together.